

A strongly minimal axiomatisation of multivalued dependencies in incomplete database relations

This talk is dedicated to my father, Hans-Jürgen Link, who turns 62 today

Sebastian Link

*Information Science Research Centre
Dept of Information Systems, Massey University
New Zealand*

This research is supported by Marsden Funding, Royal Society of New Zealand.

1. MVDs in total and partial relations
2. Classical Results
3. A new axiomatisation
4. Strong minimality
5. Conclusion and Future Work

MVDs in total relations

- DVD = {Title, Actor, Role, Feature} with Title \twoheadrightarrow Actor, Role

| Title | Actor | Role | Feature |
|---------------|---------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| The Godfather | Marlon Brando | Don Vito Corleone | Deleted Scene |
| The Godfather | Al Pacino | Michael Corleone | Making of |
| The Godfather | Marlon Brando | Don Vito Corleone | Making of |
| The Godfather | Al Pacino | Michael Corleone | Deleted Scene |
| Goldmember | Mike Myers | Austin Powers | Picture Gallery |
| Goldmember | Mike Myers | Dr. Evil | Subtitle |
| Goldmember | Mike Myers | Fat Bastard | Music Video |
| Goldmember | Mike Myers | Austin Powers | Subtitle |
| Goldmember | Mike Myers | Dr. Evil | Music Video |
| Goldmember | Mike Myers | Fat Bastard | Picture Gallery |
| Goldmember | Mike Myers | Austin Powers | Music Video |
| Goldmember | Mike Myers | Dr. Evil | Picture Gallery |
| Goldmember | Mike Myers | Fat Bastard | Subtitle |

- r satisfies $X \twoheadrightarrow Y$ if and only if $r = r[XY] \bowtie r[X(R - Y)]$
- Σ R -implies φ iff $\forall r \subseteq \text{dom}(R)$ if r satisfies all $\sigma \in \Sigma$, then also φ

Decomposition of Example

- Title \rightarrow Actor, Role separates $\{\text{Title, Actor, Role, Feature}\}$ into $\{\text{Title, Actor, Role}\}$ and $\{\text{Title, Feature}\}$

| Title | Actor | Role |
|---------------|---------------|-------------------|
| The Godfather | Marlon Brando | Don Vito Corleone |
| The Godfather | Al Pacino | Michael Corleone |
| Goldmember | Mike Myers | Austin Powers |
| Goldmember | Mike Myers | Dr. Evil |
| Goldmember | Mike Myers | Fat Bastard |

| Title | Feature |
|---------------|-----------------|
| The Godfather | Deleted Scene |
| The Godfather | Making of |
| Goldmember | Picture Gallery |
| Goldmember | Subtitle |
| Goldmember | Music Video |

NMVDs in partial relations

- t_1 subsumes t_2 if $\forall A \in R: t_1[A] = t_2[A]$ or $t_2[A] = \nu$
- no relation contains two tuples t_1 and t_2 such that t_1 subsumes t_2
- $\text{WORK} = \{\text{Employee, Child, Salary, Year}\}$ with $\text{Employee} \twoheadrightarrow \text{Child}$

| Employee | Child | Salary | Year |
|----------|--------|--------|------|
| ν | Maggie | 3000 | 2005 |
| ν | Lisa | 3300 | 2006 |
| Homer | Bart | 2000 | 2005 |
| Homer | Lisa | 2200 | 2006 |
| Homer | Bart | 2200 | 2006 |
| Homer | Lisa | 2000 | 2005 |
| Mr Burns | ν | 8000 | 2005 |
| Mr Burns | ν | 9000 | 2006 |

- r satisfies $X \twoheadrightarrow Y$ iff $r_X[R] = r_X[XY] \bowtie r_X[X(R - Y)]$ where
 $r_X[Z] = \{t \in r[Z] \mid t \text{ is } X\text{-total}\}$

Decomposition of Example

- Employee \rightarrow Salary, Year separates $\{\text{Employee, Child, Salary, Year}\}$ into $\{\text{Employee, Child}\}$ and $\{\text{Employee, Salary, Year}\}$

| Employee | Child |
|----------|-------|
| Homer | Bart |
| Homer | Lisa |
| Mr Burns | ν |

| Employee | Salary | Year |
|----------|--------|------|
| Homer | 2000 | 2005 |
| Homer | 2200 | 2006 |
| Mr Burns | 8000 | 2005 |
| Mr Burns | 9000 | 2006 |

Inference Rules for MVDs

$$\frac{}{X \twoheadrightarrow Y} Y \subseteq X$$

(reflexivity, \mathcal{R})

$$\frac{X \twoheadrightarrow Y}{XU \twoheadrightarrow YV} V \subseteq U$$

(augmentation, \mathcal{A})

$$\frac{X \twoheadrightarrow Y, Y \twoheadrightarrow Z}{X \twoheadrightarrow Z - Y}$$

(pseudo-transitivity, \mathcal{T})

$$\frac{}{X \twoheadrightarrow A} A \in X$$

(membership, \mathcal{M})

$$\frac{X \twoheadrightarrow Y}{X \twoheadrightarrow R - Y}$$

(R -complementation, \mathcal{C}_R)

$$\frac{}{\emptyset \twoheadrightarrow R}$$

(R -axiom, $\mathcal{C}.1$)

$$\frac{X \twoheadrightarrow Y, X \twoheadrightarrow Z}{X \twoheadrightarrow YZ}$$

(union, \mathcal{U})

$$\frac{X \twoheadrightarrow Y, X \twoheadrightarrow Z}{X \twoheadrightarrow Z - Y}$$

(difference, \mathcal{D})

$$\frac{X \twoheadrightarrow Y, X \twoheadrightarrow Z}{X \twoheadrightarrow Y \cap Z}$$

(intersection, \mathcal{I})

- Beeri, Fagin, Howard: $R\mathfrak{F} = \langle \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{C}_R \rangle$
- Mendelzon: $R\mathfrak{M} = \langle \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{C}_R \rangle$ and Biskup: $R\mathfrak{B} = \langle \mathcal{C}.1, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T} \rangle$
- Hartmann/Link: $\langle \mathcal{C}.1, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{T} \rangle$ and exactly one of $\{\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{I}\}$

Inference Rules for NMVDs

$$\frac{}{X \twoheadrightarrow Y} Y \subseteq X$$

(reflexivity, \mathcal{R})

$$\frac{X \twoheadrightarrow Y}{XU \twoheadrightarrow YV} V \subseteq U$$

(augmentation, \mathcal{A})

$$\frac{X \twoheadrightarrow Y, Y \twoheadrightarrow Z}{X \twoheadrightarrow Z - Y}$$

(~~pseudo-transitivity, \mathcal{T}~~)

$$\frac{}{X \twoheadrightarrow A} A \in X$$

(membership, \mathcal{M})

$$\frac{X \twoheadrightarrow Y}{X \twoheadrightarrow R - Y}$$

(R -complementation, \mathcal{C}_R)

$$\frac{}{\emptyset \twoheadrightarrow R}$$

(R -axiom, $\mathcal{C}.1$)

$$\frac{X \twoheadrightarrow Y, X \twoheadrightarrow Z}{X \twoheadrightarrow YZ}$$

(union, \mathcal{U})

$$\frac{X \twoheadrightarrow Y, X \twoheadrightarrow Z}{X \twoheadrightarrow Z - Y}$$

(difference, \mathcal{D})

$$\frac{X \twoheadrightarrow Y, X \twoheadrightarrow Z}{X \twoheadrightarrow Y \cap Z}$$

(intersection, \mathcal{I})

- Lien: $\langle \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}_R \rangle$ and exactly one of $\{\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{I}\}$
- Objective: Is there any axiomatisation in which \mathcal{C}_R can be reduced?
- Objective: How far can one reduce \mathcal{C}_R ?

A new axiomatisation for NMVDs

Theorem 1. *The following inference rules*

$$\frac{}{\overline{\emptyset \twoheadrightarrow R}} \quad (R\text{-axiom}, \mathcal{C}.1)$$

$$\frac{}{\overline{A \twoheadrightarrow A}} \quad (\text{attribute-axiom}, \mathcal{A}t)$$

$$\frac{X \twoheadrightarrow Y}{\overline{XA \twoheadrightarrow Y}} \quad (\text{weak augmentation rule}, \mathcal{W})$$

$$\frac{X \twoheadrightarrow Y, X \twoheadrightarrow Z}{\overline{X \twoheadrightarrow Z - Y}} \quad (\text{difference rule}, \mathcal{D})$$

form a minimal, sound and complete set of inference rules for NMVD implication.

An incomplete System

- $\mathfrak{S} = \langle \mathcal{C}.1, \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{I} \rangle$ is incomplete
- $R = \{A, B\}$, $\Sigma = \emptyset$ and $\sigma = A \rightarrow B$
- $\sigma \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{S} \cup \{\mathcal{C}\}}^+$
- $\sigma \notin \Sigma_{\mathfrak{S}}^+$:

| | \emptyset | A | B | AB |
|-------------|-------------|-----|-----|------|
| \emptyset | × | | | × |
| A | × | × | | × |
| B | × | | × | × |
| AB | × | × | × | × |

Strong Minimality for NMVDs

- sound and complete \mathfrak{S} is *minimal* if for all $\mathfrak{R} \in \mathfrak{S}$ there is some R and some $\Sigma \cup \{\varphi\}$ on R such that $\varphi \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{S}}^+$ but $\varphi \notin \Sigma_{\mathfrak{S}-\mathfrak{R}}^+$
- sound and complete \mathfrak{S} is *strongly minimal* if for all $\mathfrak{R} \in \mathfrak{S}$ there is some R and some (trivial) φ on R such that $\varphi \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{S}}^+$ but $\varphi \notin \Sigma_{\mathfrak{S}-\mathfrak{R}}^+$ for $\Sigma = \emptyset$

Theorem 2. *None of the minimal systems $\langle \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{C}_R \rangle$, $\langle \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{C}_R \rangle$ and $\langle \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C}_R \rangle$ is strongly minimal.*

Theorem 3. *The system $\langle \mathcal{C}.1, \mathcal{A}t, \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{D} \rangle$ is strongly minimal for NMVD implication.*

Strong Minimality for MVDs

$$\frac{}{X \twoheadrightarrow Y} Y \subseteq X$$

(reflexivity, \mathcal{R})

$$\frac{X \twoheadrightarrow Y}{XU \twoheadrightarrow YV} V \subseteq U$$

(augmentation, \mathcal{A})

$$\frac{X \twoheadrightarrow Y, Y \twoheadrightarrow Z}{X \twoheadrightarrow Z - Y}$$

(pseudo-transitivity, \mathcal{T})

$$\frac{}{X \twoheadrightarrow A} A \in X$$

(membership, \mathcal{M})

$$\frac{X \twoheadrightarrow Y}{X \twoheadrightarrow R - Y}$$

(R -complementation, \mathcal{C}_R)

$$\frac{}{\emptyset \twoheadrightarrow R}$$

(R -axiom, $\mathcal{C}.1$)

$$\frac{X \twoheadrightarrow Y, X \twoheadrightarrow Z}{X \twoheadrightarrow YZ}$$

(union, \mathcal{U})

$$\frac{X \twoheadrightarrow Y, X \twoheadrightarrow Z}{X \twoheadrightarrow Z - Y}$$

(difference, \mathcal{D})

$$\frac{X \twoheadrightarrow Y, X \twoheadrightarrow Z}{X \twoheadrightarrow Y \cap Z}$$

(intersection, \mathcal{I})

- Mendelzon: $R\mathfrak{M} = \langle \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{C}_R \rangle$ NOT strongly minimal
- Biskup: $R\mathfrak{B} = \langle \mathcal{C}.1, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T} \rangle$ strongly minimal
- Hartmann/Link: $\langle \mathcal{C}.1, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{T} \rangle$ and exactly one of $\{\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{I}\}$ are all strongly minimal

Conclusion

- provided a new axiomatisation for NMVDs in which 3 of the 4 inference rules have been very weakened compared to original axiomatisation
- clarifies the role of the R -complementation rule for NMVDs and extends a classical result by Biskup from total to partial relations
- introduced a stronger notion of minimality, classifies minimal axiomatisations for (N)MVDs further
- notion maybe be applied to other constraints
- MVDs for XML?
- synthesis algorithm for (N)MVDs?

Literature

- *Beeri, Fagin, Howard: A Complete Axiomatization for Functional and Multivalued Dependencies in Database Relations, SIGMOD, pp. 47-61, 1977*
- *Biskup: On the complementation rule for multivalued dependencies in database relations, Act.Inf.:10(3), pp. 297-305, 1978.*
- *Mendelzon: On axiomatising multivalued dependencies in relational databases, J. ACM:26(1), pp. 37-44, 1979.*
- *Biskup: Inferences of multivalued dependencies in fixed and undetermined universes, Theor.Comp.Sci:10(1), pp. 93-106, 1980.*
- *Lien: On the equivalence of data models, J. ACM:29(2), pp.333-363, 1982.*
- *Link: On multivalued dependencies in fixed and undetermined universes, LNCS 3861, pp. 258-277, 2006.*
- *Link: On the Logical Implication of Multivalued Dependencies with Null Values, CRPIT 51, pp. 113-122, 2006.*
- *Hartmann, Link: On a Problem of Fagin concerning Multivalued Dependencies in Relational Databases, Theor.Comp.Sci.:353(1-3), pp. 53-62, 2006*